

United States District Court  
Eastern District of California

Johnny Angel Escalera,

Plaintiff, No. Civ. 03-2075 GEB PAN P

vs. Findings and Recommendations

Edward S. Alameida, Jr., et al.,

Defendants.

-oOo-

April 12, 2005, an order served upon plaintiff was returned by the postal service as undeliverable. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 83-182(d), which requires a party appearing pro se inform the court of any address change.

Accordingly, the court hereby recommends this action be dismissed without prejudice. L.R. 83-183(b) (dismissal appropriate where pro se plaintiff fails to notify court of current address within 60 days of return of mail by U.S. Postal Service).

1 Pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), these  
2 findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States  
3 District Judge assigned to this case. Within 20 days after being  
4 served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may  
5 file written objections. The document should be captioned  
6 "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations."  
7 The district judge may accept, reject, or modify these findings  
8 and recommendations in whole or in part.

9 Dated: June 29, 2005.

10 /s/ Peter A. Nowinski

11 PETER A. NOWINSKI  
Magistrate Judge